life in prison. The defendant said he was not aware of anyone who had a relationship that was in such a state that they would want to frame him for murder. The lack of motive to frame him is also a circumstance which you may consider in evaluating the defendant's ridiculous story to explain the evidence.

By the way, as this beyond a reasonable doubt goes, the defendant is entitled to a reasonable doubt, one based on reason, on the evidence. He is not entitled to an absurd or irrational doubt.

In addition to motive, how do we know it was the defendant? First, there is no evidence of anyone else with a motive to do this. Ms. Rhoads told you that we don't have any other enemies, and you haven't heard any evidence to the contrary, certainly.

The attacker did not come in and immediate demand money from Ms. Rhoads. The attacker didn't come in and when confronted by Ms. Rhoads say where is the safe, where is the cash, give me the jewelry. He immediately pepper sprayed her and chased her down the hall. There is no other motive to ascribe to that attack on Ms. Rhoads.

What other evidence do you have? You have a plan from the defendant to go into homes, attack people with a chemical weapon and having considered